

# Doubly-Robust Estimation of Functional Outcomes

Dominic DiSanto

Junwei Lu Reading Group - Spring 2025

March 6, 2025

# Outline

## 1 Preliminaries

## 2 Testa (2025) Functional ATE

- Estimation and Double-Robustness
- Inference/Asymptotic Gaussianity

## 3 Liu(2024) - Missing Data

## Papers of Focus

- Primarily
  - Doubly-Robust Functional Average Treatment Effect Estimation
    - Lorenzo Testa, Tobia Boschi, Francesca Chiaromonte, Edward H. Kennedy, Matthew Reimherr
- Secondly
  - Double robust estimation of functional outcomes with data missing at random
    - Xijia Liu, Kreske Ecker, Lina Schelin, Xavier de Luna
- Other related results:
  - Causal Inference on Distribution Functions
    - Zhenhua Lin, Dehan Kong, Linbo Wang
  - One-Step Estimation of Differentiable Hilbert-Valued Parameters
    - Alex Luedtke, Incheoul Chung

# Typical Causal Set-Up

- Consider observing iid data  $(Y_i, A_i, \mathbf{X}_i)_{i \in [n]}$ 
  - $Y_i \in \mathbb{R}, A_i \in \{0, 1\}, \mathbf{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$
  - Interest lies in contrasts of potential outcomes  $Y(1), Y(0)$
- Make “causal” assumptions to translate functions of unobservable potential outcomes to observable data:
  - Unconfoundedness, SUTVA, Positivity
- Construct estimands of interest
  - Most commonly ATE:  $\tau = \mathbb{E}[Y(1) - Y(0)]$  or related variant (CATE, ATT)
- AIPW estimator

$$\hat{\tau}_{\text{AIPW}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}_i^{(1)} - \hat{\mu}_i^{(0)} + \frac{A_i(Y_i - \hat{\mu}_i)}{\hat{\pi}(X_i)} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(1 - A_i)(Y_i - \hat{\mu}_i)}{1 - \hat{\pi}(X_i)}$$

# Functional Outcomes

- In most settings,  $Y_i$  is some scalar (binary/continuous outcome, count of recurrent events, time-to-event)
- Recent results for functional outcomes, say  $\mathcal{Y}_i$ 
  - $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T})$  for some domain, e.g.  $\mathcal{T} = [0, T]$
  - e.g. disease trajectories, recurrent temporal data, etc.
- Now define relevant estimands that are themselves random functions:
  - $\text{ATE}_f := \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Y}(1) - \mathcal{Y}(0)]$ , the mean function of a stochastic process
  - Where as before, our estimand was  $\text{ATE} : \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ , we now have  $\text{ATE}_f : \mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T})$

# Functional Outcomes

- Fundamentally, we still want to construct an estimator that is
  - Doubly-robust (model and ideally rate)
  - Has asymptotic properties that permit inference
- For random functions, we can asymptotically approximate as Gaussian Processes
- Then conduct inference using [existing FDA methods for simultaneous confidence bands](#)

## Goals/Strategies

- Review extensions of the “typical” causal/doubly-robust estimation procedure to functional outcomes
  - e.g. disease trajectories, recurrent temporal data, etc.
- Observe a seemingly common tool-kit for constructing an estimator, performing inference
  - Build a “classical” doubly-robust estimator
  - (1) Finite-dimensional asymptotic normality and (2) tightness of measure
  - Approximate as a Gaussian process, construct confidence bands

# Outline

- 1 Preliminaries
- 2 Testa (2025) Functional ATE
  - Estimation and Double-Robustness
  - Inference/Asymptotic Gaussianity
- 3 Liu(2024) - Missing Data



# Set-Up

- Observe data  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{D}_i)_{i \in [n]} = (\mathcal{Y}_i, \mathbf{X}_i, A_i)_{i \in [n]}$
- $\text{ATE}_f := \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Y}(1) - \mathcal{Y}(0)] = \mathbb{E}[\gamma^{(1)}(\mathcal{D}) - \gamma^{(0)}(\mathcal{D})]$
- For

$$\gamma^{(a)}(\mathcal{D}) = \mu^{(a)}(\mathbf{X}) + \frac{\mathbb{1}\{A = a\} (\mathcal{Y}^{(a)} - \mu^{(a)}(\mathbf{X}))}{\pi^{(a)}(\mathbf{X})}$$

where

$$\mu^{(a)} := \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Y}^{(a)} \mid \mathbf{X}, A = a]$$

$$\pi^{(a)} := P(A = a \mid \mathbf{X})$$

# The $\hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FoS}}$ Estimator

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \left[ \gamma^{(1)}(\mathcal{D}) \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \mu^{(1)}(X) + \frac{A(\mathcal{Y} - \mu^{(1)}(X))}{\pi^{(1)}(X)} \right] \\ &= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Y} \mid X, A = 1]]}_{=\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}]} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{A(\mathcal{Y} - \mu^{(1)}(X))}{\pi^{(1)}(X)} \mid X \right] \right]}_{=0}\end{aligned}$$

We can then estimate  $\text{ATE}_f$  as (with some background cross-fitting of nuisance functions)

$$\hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FoS}} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\gamma}^{(1)}(\mathcal{D}) - \hat{\gamma}^{(0)}(\mathcal{D})$$

(Here we use the authors' notation, where  $\beta \equiv \text{ATE}$ , and “DR-FOS’ stands for “Doubly-Robust Function-on-Scalar”).

# Robustness of $\hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FoS}}$

- Model and rate-robustness come from similar analysis as in the scalar setting
  - Analyze the von mises expansion as three terms (1) CLT term, (2) Empirical Process, and (3) Remainder= $o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$
- Note on rate-robustness:
  - The rate-robustness proof is shown *for finite-dimensional projections of*  $\hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FoS}}$

# Outline

- 1 Preliminaries
- 2 Testa (2025) Functional ATE
  - Estimation and Double-Robustness
  - Inference/Asymptotic Gaussianity
- 3 Liu(2024) - Missing Data

# Main Result

## Theorem

*Theorem 3.9 in Testa 2025 Under the causal identification and inference assumptions (next slide),*

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FoS}} - \beta) \rightsquigarrow \text{GP}(0, \Sigma)$$

*for  $\Sigma(s, t) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(\mathcal{D}, s)\varphi(\mathcal{D}; t)]$  where  $\varphi(\mathcal{D}) = \gamma^{(1)}(\mathcal{D}) - \gamma^{(0)}(\mathcal{D}) - \beta$  is the influence function, and  $\varphi(\mathcal{D}; s)$  the influence function defined at time  $s$*

- Show asymptotic normality of finite-dimensional projection of  $\hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FoS}}$
- Show tightness of measure

# Assumptions

**Assumption 3.4** (Inference). Let the number of cross-fitting folds be fixed at  $J$ , and assume that:

- a. For each  $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$ , and for every one-dimensional projection  $\varphi(\mathcal{D}; t)$ , one has

$$\hat{\varphi}^{[-j]}(\mathcal{D}; t) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}^2} \varphi(\mathcal{D}; t).$$

- b. For every one-dimensional projection  $\varphi(\mathcal{D}; t)$ , one has

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^J R_2^{[j]} = o_{\mathbb{P}}(1),$$

where  $R_2^{[j]} = \hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FOS}}^{[-j]}(t) - \beta(t) + \int \hat{\varphi}^{[-j]}(\mathcal{D}; t) d\mathbb{P}$ .

- c. Given  $\xi > 0$ ,  $\hat{\kappa}^{(a)}$  is bounded away from  $\xi$  and  $1 - \xi$  with probability 1.  
d. For any  $\delta > 0$ , the functional outcome satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{|s-t| \leq \delta} |\mathcal{Y}(s) - \mathcal{Y}(t)| \right] \leq L\delta \quad (9)$$

for some constant  $L$ .

- e. For any  $\delta > 0$  and for  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ , the *estimated* regression function satisfies

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{|s-t| \leq \delta} |\hat{\mu}^{(a)}(s) - \hat{\mu}^{(a)}(t)| \right] \leq L^{(a)}\delta$$

for some constant  $L^{(a)}$ .

# Finite-Dimensional Asymptotic Normality

- Take the von Mises expansion and study the (1) CLT, (2) Empirical Process, and (3) remainder terms

**Lemma 3.7** (Asymptotic Normality of finite dimensional projections). *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathcal{T}$  be fixed. Under Assumptions 2.1 (identifiability) and 3.4 (inference), one has*

$$\sqrt{n} \left( \left( \hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FoS}}(t_1), \dots, \hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FoS}}(t_k) \right)^T - (\beta(t_1), \dots, \beta(t_k))^T \right) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_{t_1, \dots, t_k}), \quad (14)$$

where  $v_{t_1, \dots, t_k}(\mathcal{D}) = (\varphi(\mathcal{D}; t_1), \dots, \varphi(\mathcal{D}; t_k))^T$  and  $\Sigma_{t_1, \dots, t_k} = \mathbb{E}[v_{t_1, \dots, t_k}(\mathcal{D}) v_{t_1, \dots, t_k}(\mathcal{D})^T]$ .

# Proof Sketch

Take the von mises expansion,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{B}_{t_1, \dots, t_k} - B_{t_1, \dots, t_k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n v_{t_1, \dots, t_k}(\mathcal{D}_i) + \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P})(\hat{v}_{t_1, \dots, t_k}(\mathcal{D}) - v_{t_1, \dots, t_k}(\mathcal{D})) + \sqrt{n}R_2,$$

where  $B_{t_1, \dots, t_k} := [\hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FoS}}(t_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FoS}}(t_k))]^T$

- $\sqrt{n} \sum_i v_{t_1, \dots, t_k}(\mathcal{D}_i)$  is mean 0, finite variance (CLT term)
- $\sqrt{n}(\mathbb{P}_n - \mathbb{P})(\hat{v}_{t_1, \dots, t_k}(\mathcal{D}_i) - v_{t_1, \dots, t_k}(\mathcal{D}))$  is the Empirical Process term (controlled via cross-fitting to be  $o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ )
- The remainder term is  $o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$  by assumption



## Proof Sketch

The remainder term  $R_2$  above is the source of the so-called “strong” or “rate” double-robustness.

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_2^q &= \int \left| \frac{1}{\pi^{(1)}(\mathcal{D})} - \frac{1}{\hat{\pi}^{(1)}(\mathcal{D})} \right| \left| \mu^{(1)}(\mathcal{D}) - \hat{\mu}^{(1)}(\mathcal{D}) \right| \pi^{(1)} d\mathbb{P} \\
 &\quad - \int \left| \frac{1}{1 - \pi^{(1)}(\mathcal{D})} - \frac{1}{1 - \hat{\pi}^{(1)}(\mathcal{D})} \right| \left| \mu^{(0)}(\mathcal{D}) - \hat{\mu}^{(0)}(\mathcal{D}) \right| (1 - \pi^{(1)}) d\mathbb{P} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int |\pi^{(1)}(\mathcal{D}) - \hat{\pi}^{(1)}(\mathcal{D})| |\mu^{(1)}(\mathcal{D}) - \hat{\mu}^{(1)}(\mathcal{D})| d\mathbb{P} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int |\pi^{(1)}(\mathcal{D}) - \hat{\pi}^{(1)}(\mathcal{D})| |\mu^{(0)}(\mathcal{D}) - \hat{\mu}^{(0)}(\mathcal{D})| d\mathbb{P}
 \end{aligned}$$

with  $\varepsilon$  coming from the positivity assumption (and all  $\mu, \hat{\mu}$  implicitly indexed at a single time point  $t_q, q \in [1, \dots, k]$ ).

## Extension to Infinite Dimensional Result

- Remains only to show “tightness” of measure
  - $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[w(\hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FoS}}) \geq \varepsilon; \delta] = 0$
  - Here  $w$  is the modulus of continuity  $w(f; \delta) = \sup_{|t-s| \leq \delta} |f(t) - f(s)|$

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(\hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FoS}}; \delta) &= \sup_{|s-t| \leq \delta} |\hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FoS}}(s) - \hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FoS}}(t)| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( 1 + \frac{A_i}{\hat{\pi}^{(1)}(X_i)} \right) \sup_{|s-t| \leq \delta} |\hat{\mu}^{(1)}(X_i; s) - \hat{\mu}^{(1)}(X_i; t)| \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( 1 + \frac{1 - A_i}{1 - \hat{\pi}^{(1)}(X_i)} \right) \sup_{|s-t| \leq \delta} |\hat{\mu}^{(0)}(X_i; s) - \hat{\mu}^{(0)}(X_i; t)| \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A_i - \hat{\pi}^{(1)}(X_i)}{\hat{\pi}^{(1)}(X_i)(1 - \hat{\pi}^{(1)}(X_i))} \sup_{|s-t| \leq \delta} |\mathcal{Y}_i(s) - \mathcal{Y}_i(t)| \\
 &= M(\hat{\beta}_{\text{DR-FoS}}; \delta).
 \end{aligned}$$

# Summary

- “Typical” causal set-up extends to function estimation, translated to function estimands
- Retain similar notions of double-robustness
  - Noting rate-double robustness is asymptotic in  $n$  for finite-dimensional object of  $\hat{\mu}^{(a)}$
- Proof strategy is roughly similar to existing tool-kit for semi-parametric problems
  - Study influence functions/von Mises expansion of finite-dimensional object
  - Show tightness of measure under causal and functional assumptions

# Outline

- 1 Preliminaries
- 2 Testa (2025) Functional ATE
  - Estimation and Double-Robustness
  - Inference/Asymptotic Gaussianity
- 3 Liu(2024) - Missing Data

## Set-Up and Data Model

- Observe  $(\mathcal{Y}_i, \mathbf{X}_i, Z_i)_{i \in [n]}$ 
  - $Z_i$  is an indicator of whether  $\mathcal{Y}_i$  is observed
- Estimand  $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Y}]$  when  $\mathcal{Y}$  is only partially observed
  - Paper is not explicit about estimand but inferring from the structure of their estimator
- Assume a linear functional model  $\mathcal{Y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i^T \beta + \varepsilon_i$  for deterministic functions  $\beta = [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p]^T$ ,  $\beta_j \in L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$
- Assume a logistic model on  $Z$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(Z_i = 1) = \text{expit}(\mathbf{X}_i^T \gamma)$
- Estimate  $\hat{\gamma}$  as the MLE,  $\hat{\beta}$  is the functional OLS estimator  
$$\hat{\beta}(t) = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathcal{Y}(t)$$

## Estimator & Convergence

Define the estimator:

$$\hat{\mu}_{DR} = \sum_i \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\beta} + \sum_i \frac{Z_i}{g(\mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\gamma})} (\mathcal{Y}_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\beta})$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Assume that at least one of the working models (1) and (2) is correctly specified, i.e.,  $E(\mathcal{Y}_i | \mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{x}_i^T \beta$  or  $\Pr[Z_i = 1 | \mathbf{x}_i] = \tau(\mathbf{x}_i^T \gamma)$ . Then, the functional DR estimator  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_{DR} - \mu_y)$  is asymptotically distributed as a Gaussian process with zero mean function. Further, if both working models are correctly specified, the covariance function simplifies and we have:*

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_{DR} - \mu_y) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{GP}(0, \beta(s)^\top \Sigma_x \beta(t) + \mathbb{E}[\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i^T \gamma)] \sigma_\epsilon(s, t)).$$

# Proof Sketch

- Show asymptotic normality of a finite dimensional object
  - Here the proof is somewhat “brute-focused” by an M-estimation argument
- Show tightness of measure
  - Here, the results are quite technical/measure-theoretic
  - Loosely, uniform tightness is proved via Prohorov’s theorem

# Tightness Lemmas

**Lemma B.1.** *With some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ , let  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of independent random elements in Hilbert space  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{H}})$  with mean 0 and  $\mathbb{E}(\|X_i\|^2) < \infty$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\xi_n = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . The sequence of probability measures implied by  $\xi_n$ ,  $\{\mathcal{P} \circ \xi_n^{-1}\}_{n \geq 1}$ , is uniformly tight.*

**Lemma B.2.** *With some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ , let  $(X_n, Y_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of paired random elements taking values from  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}})$  and  $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Y}})$  respectively. Let  $\mathbb{P}_n$  be the joint probability measures over  $\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}} \times \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Y}})$  which is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra making projections to  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  all measurable, i.e.  $\mathcal{P} \circ X_n^{-1}(E) = \mathbb{P}_n(E \times \mathcal{Y}) \forall E \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}}$ . Assume  $\forall y \in \mathcal{Y}, \exists \sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{X}|y}$  and  $\mathcal{P} \circ (X_n|y)^{-1}$  such that the joint probability measure can be represented by disintegration, i.e.  $\mathbb{P}_n(E \times F) = \int_F \mathcal{P} \circ (X_n|y)^{-1}(E) d\mathcal{P} \circ Y_n^{-1}$ . If  $\mathcal{P} \circ (X_n|y)^{-1}$  is uniformly tight, then the probability measure implied by  $X_n$  is uniformly tight. Further, if  $\mathcal{P} \circ Y_n^{-1}$  is also uniformly tight, then the joint probability measure is uniformly tight.*



## Notes on Liu(2024)

- Similar (global) proof structure (standard machinery to prove convergence to Gaussian processes)
- Explicit proofs of tightness (compared to Testa (2025)'s assumptions)

## Goals/Strategies

- Review extensions of the “typical” causal/doubly-robust estimation procedure to functional outcomes
  - e.g. disease trajectories, recurrent temporal data, etc.
- Observe a seemingly common tool-kit for constructing an estimator, performing inference
  - Build a “classical” doubly-robust estimator
  - (1) Finite-dimensional asymptotic normality and (2) tightness of measure
  - Approximate as a Gaussian process, construct confidence bands